



Næss, Ø., Mortensen, L. H., Vikanes, Å., & Davey Smith, G. (2017). Offspring sex and parental health and mortality. *Scientific Reports*, 7, [5285]. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-05161-y>

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

License (if available):
CC BY

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1038/s41598-017-05161-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-05161-y)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

This is the final published version of the article (version of record). It first appeared online via Nature at <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-017-05161-y>. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

Offspring sex and parental health and mortality

Øyvind Næss^{1,2}, Laust H. Mortensen³, Åse Vikanes^{1,4} & George Davey Smith⁵

Received: 7 June 2016

Accepted: 25 May 2017

Published online: 13 July 2017

Increased mortality has been observed in mothers and fathers with male offspring but little is known regarding specific diseases. In a register linkage we linked women born 1925–1954 having survived to age 50 ($n = 661,031$) to offspring and fathers ($n = 691,124$). Three approaches were used: 1) number of total boy and girl offspring, 2) sex of the first and second offspring and 3) proportion of boys to total number of offspring. A sub-cohort ($n = 50,736$ mothers, $n = 44,794$ fathers) from survey data was analysed for risk factors. Mothers had increased risk of total and cardiovascular mortality that was consistent across approaches: cardiovascular mortality of 1.07 (95% CI: 1.03–1.11) per boy (approach 2), 1.04 (1.01–1.07) if the first offspring was a boy, and 1.06 (1.01–1.10) if the first two offspring were boys (approach 3). We found that sex of offspring was not associated with total or cardiovascular mortality in fathers. For other diseases or risk factors no robust associations were seen in mothers or fathers. Increased cardiovascular risk in mothers having male offspring suggests a maternal disease specific mechanism. The lack of consistent associations on measured risk factors could suggest other biological pathways than those studied play a role in generating this additional cardiovascular risk.

To give birth to and raise children may influence parental health. In contemporary populations post-reproductive mortality is related in a U-shaped manner to number of offspring with lowest risk among those with two or three children^{1–4}. Sex composition of the offspring may further influence parental health. Boys may exert greater biological, social and psychological costs than girls to give birth to and to raise, and predict more considerable adverse health consequences in mothers compared to fathers⁵. Studies on offspring sex and mortality or longevity have found mixed results. They have covered various historical and epidemiological eras; ranging from settings with a presumably higher proportion of infectious disease deaths in pre-industrial populations, to predominantly non-communicable disease mortality in modern populations.

Helle *et al.* found that shorter maternal lifespan was related to the number of sons born⁶. Several studies based on historical populations have produced mixed results^{2, 7–12}, whereas studies using more contemporary populations have generally not supported this finding^{13–15}. The lack of consistency in results may have several explanations: First, the studies used a wide range of periods with various likely different mechanisms involved¹⁶. Secondly, previous studies have looked at general outcomes and not outcomes or risk factors reflecting specific potential mechanisms involved. Finally, offspring sex composition is correlated with parity known to be a strong determinant for mortality. And without analytical attention to parity, it is difficult to know if the observed health consequences of offspring sex composition, is predominantly driven by this confounder.

There is some supporting evidence in other studies: In non-human mammals, such as the Red Deer, maternal investment is often greater in male than in female offspring, which may provide an evolutionary advantage as the chance of reproduction for males depends on maternal investment¹⁷. In humans, sex-differences in several physiological mechanisms suggest that sons impose greater costs on mothers than daughters: boys have a faster rate of fetal growth and are heavier at birth¹⁸. In monkeys a higher demand for breastfeeding has been observed¹⁹. Additionally, offspring born subsequent to male siblings have lower birth weight²⁰, increased risk of stillbirth²¹, fewer surviving siblings²² and lower height in adulthood²³. Altogether, this suggests that giving birth to boys depletes maternal reserves more than giving birth to girls, and therefore could impact on the mothers' health.

Here we used several approaches to address the concerns raised above. Associations between offspring sex composition and parental health may arise through direct biological or psychosocial mechanisms. A comparison between mothers and fathers gives indications of gender specific pathways. Associations seen in fathers

¹Epidemiological Division, National Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway. ²Institute of Health and Society, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway. ³Department of Public Health, University of Copenhagen, København, Denmark.

⁴The Intervention Center, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway. ⁵MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit (IEU) at the University of Bristol, School of Social and Community Medicine, Bristol, UK. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Ø.N. (email: oyvind.nass@medisin.uio.no)

	N	Year of birth (mean and standard deviation)	Deaths (n)	Year of birth offspring (mean and standard deviation)	Primary education only (%)	Total N° offspring (mean)
Mothers						
Main sample						
At least one offspring	661,013	1941 (9.0)	88,620	1968 (9.2)	67	2.5
At least two offspring	562,693	1941 (8.9)	71,869	1968 (9.0)	67	2.8
Sub-cohort						
At least one offspring	50,736	1945 (10.3)	2,926	1972 (10.8)	60	2.6
At least two offspring	43,860	1945 (10.2)	2,476	1972 (10.6)	60	2.8
Fathers						
Main sample						
At least one offspring	691,124	1939 (10.5)	186,416	1969 (9.6)	55	2.5
At least two offspring	584,515	1939 (10.3)	153,915	1969 (9.3)	55	2.8
Sub-cohort						
At least one offspring	44,794	1941 (11.1)	5,873	1971 (10.6)	51	2.5
At least two offspring	37,816	1941 (11.0)	4,977	1971 (10.4)	51	2.8

Table 1. Some characteristics according to number of boys and girls in the study population.

could come from sharing the same risk environment as mothers, whereas stronger associations seen in mothers might come from the additional contribution of experiencing pregnancy. But it could also come through gender-specific roles in parenting, where offspring sex may influence lifestyle or other concomitants of parenting. One would expect that in the latter case, risk factors or smoking related causes of death, are more prevalent in mothers having more boys. Sex specific cancers, like breast and ovarian cancer are related to parity probably more directly through hormonal and other biological changes in pregnancy, and we would expect greater risk associated with having boys if this mechanism is important^{24, 25}. Maternal exposure to male antigens during pregnancy through the feto-placental unit could increase risk of immune-related disorders²⁶. And finally, by using alternative approaches in addition to merely counting number of boys and girls, sex of first offspring and proportion of offspring boys, we would be able disentangle the impact parity may have on the observed associations from offspring sex composition.

We investigated whether offspring sex is related to parental risk factors, cancer risk and cause-specific mortality using a large population sample.

Methods

Population. The data were organized into trios. We included only those groups where we had information on the full reproductive age of mothers from 15 to 50 years and with follow-up of death afterwards. Fathers were also required to survive to age 50. Identity of offspring and fathers was available from Norwegian multigenerational data²⁷. This gave 1,936,257 offspring with 784,325 mothers and 786,262 fathers. We analysed those with complete data on covariates giving 661,013 women and 691,124 men. 15% women and 12% men were excluded because they lacked information on covariates. Associations were largely similar when analysing the full sample and with the exclusions. The parents were linked to their offspring and to the Cause of Death Registry, the Cancer Registry, Statistics Norway and from the Cohort of Norway (CONOR)²⁸. The CONOR participants comprised a sub cohort of the 661,013 mothers and 691,124 fathers with 50,736 women and 44,794 men who participated in one of ten regional, population-based health surveys in the period 1994–2003.

Exposure, covariates and outcomes. Number of boys, girls and total offspring was calculated for each individual. Length of education was retrieved for each individual from the national educational database as highest achieved by age 30 and categorized into five categories. Length of education was categorized into the following five ordered groups: 7–9 years (representing completion of primary school education only), 10–11 years (middle school), 12 years (secondary school), 12–16 years (college) and >16 years (usually indicating completion of a university degree).

Outcome variables were death by cause (Cause of death registry) and by incident cases of cancer (Cancer registry). Causes of death (ICD-10 codes) were: all causes, cardiovascular causes (I00–I99) and pulmonary cancer and COPD combined (C32–C34 and J40–J47). The following codes were used for cancer coming both from the Cancer Registry and the Cause of Death Registry: breast cancer (C50), ovarian cancer (C56), prostate cancer (C61) and testicular cancer (C62). The cancer analysis was done separately so that cancer events were incident cases and did not include cancer deaths. Autoimmune diseases were retrieved from the Disability Register: rheumatoid arthritis (ICD-10: M05), coeliac disease (ICD-10: K90), Crohn's disease (ICD-10 K50) and ulcerative colitis (ICD-10: K51).

CONOR assessments on risk factors included information on and measurement of height and weight, fasting lipids, arterial pulse, systolic blood pressure, diabetes, mental distress, current smoking, alcohol consumption frequency past year and physical activity. Diabetes was recorded by the following question: “Do you have or have you had diabetes?” Physical activity assessment included two questions regarding the number of hours per week in the past year spent in light and vigorous (resulting in shortness of breath and or sweating) physical activity.




Figure 1. Age adjusted hazard ratio of cardiovascular mortality by number of offspring boys and girls among women (n = 661,013) and men (n = 691,124).

Past-year alcohol consumption frequency was categorized into three groups (≥ 1 week, 1–3 times a month, and less than monthly which included abstainers). Lipids were measured by an enzymatic method (Roche Diagnostic, Switzerland). The average of the last two of three systolic blood pressure readings taken after a 2 min rest by an automatic device (DINAMAP, Criticon, Tampa, FL, USA) was used in analyses.

Analytical approach and statistical methods. Disentangling the effect of offspring sex from the effect of parity is difficult. When using number of boys or girls as exposures, this will pick up some of the effect that goes through parity. For this reason we used three strategies and investigated whether these gave coherent findings: Firstly, we estimated the relation between *number of boys and girls* and parental mortality. Secondly, we estimated the relation between parental mortality and *sex of first offspring* and the *sex of first and second offspring*. For the first two offspring the combination was coded as: girl-girl (reference), girl-boy or boy-girl into a “mixed” category and boy-boy and included those with at least two children (n = 562,677 women and n = 584,515 men). Thirdly, we analysed the *proportion of boys* (number of boys divided by total number of offspring). For the presentation of the results, estimates from the second and third strategy are presented in the main tables and from the first in supplementary tables.

Mortality and morbidity data were analyzed using Cox proportional hazards regression. The proportional hazards assumption was assessed by visual inspection of plots and by testing Schoenfeld residuals. No indication of a violation of the proportional hazard assumption was found. Time was entered as age. The models were adjusted for year of birth. Difference in the parameter estimates between the variables *number of boys* and *number of girls* was tested using the command test in STATA 12 after the Cox regression model was run with both parameters included in the model. Difference in estimates between mothers and fathers for *number of boys* and *number of girls* investigated separately, was tested by pooling mothers and fathers together and fitting interaction terms for sex of the parent and *number of boys* and *number of girls* respectively. For the analysis of risk factors in the sub-cohort of CONOR, linear and logistic regression models were used.

We conducted several additional analysis by linking to data from Social Security Registry and the Medical Birth Registry (births after 1967), see Supplementary Tables 9 and 10. We also investigated CVD and all-cause mortality in mothers having offspring with twins, perinatal deaths, pre-eclampsia and with different length of inter-pregnancy intervals, and we investigated CVD mortality in the CONOR sub-cohort.

Ethics. The study is part of the study “Inter- and intra-generational transmission of risk” was approved by the Norwegian Regional Ethics Committee, No. 2010/260.

Results

The sub-cohort was slightly younger than the main cohort (Table 1). *Number of boys* and *number of girls* were each related to earlier year of birth in offspring and parents higher proportion with primary education. High proportion of boys was related to these covariates to a much lesser degree with slightly lower proportion with primary education only. *Sex of first offspring* and *sex of first two offspring* did not vary substantially by these covariates (Supplementary Table 1).

	Hazard ratio (95% CI)						
	At least one offspring ^a Sex of first offspring			At least two offspring ^b Sex of first and second offspring			
	Girl (ref)	boy	p-value (trend)	girl-girl (ref)	boy-girl/girl-boy	boy-boy	p-value (trend)
Mothers							
All causes (n = 93,518)	1.00	1.02 (1.01–1.03)	0.007	1.00	1.01 (0.99–1.03)	1.02 (1.00–1.05)	0.066
Cardiovascular (n = 22,072)	1.00	1.04 (1.01–1.07)	0.008	1.00	1.00 (0.96–1.04)	1.06 (1.01–1.10)	0.011
Lung cancer and COPD (n = 11,503)	1.00	1.01 (0.97–1.04)	0.780	1.00	0.96 (0.91–1.01)	0.99 (0.94–1.05)	0.907
Breast cancer (n = 30,649)	1.00	1.00 (0.98–1.03)	0.991	1.00	1.00 (0.96–1.03)	0.99 (0.95–1.03)	0.626
Ovarian cancer (n = 6935)	1.00	1.00 (0.95–1.06)	0.925	1.00	1.04 (0.96–1.13)	1.02 (0.93–1.11)	0.783
Fathers							
All causes (n = 196,867)	1.00	1.00 (0.99–1.01)	0.917	1.00	0.99 (0.97–1.00)	1.00 (0.99–1.02)	0.819
Cardiovascular (n = 75,557)	1.00	1.00 (0.99–1.02)	0.624	1.00	0.99 (0.97–1.01)	1.00 (0.98–1.03)	0.738
Lung cancer and COPD (n = 21,994)	1.00	1.00 (0.97–1.02)	0.763	1.00	1.01 (0.98–1.05)	1.01 (0.96–1.05)	0.726
Testicular cancer (n = 1948)	1.00	0.97 (0.89–1.06)	0.487	1.00	1.06 (0.93–1.20)	1.08 (0.94–1.24)	0.285
Prostate cancer (n = 31,827)	1.00	1.00 (0.97–1.02)	0.920	1.00	1.00 (0.97–1.03)	0.98 (0.95–1.02)	0.340

Table 2. Age adjusted hazard ratio of cause specific mortality from the Cause of Death Registry (all cause, circulatory and lung cancer) and incident cancer from the Norwegian Cancer Registry (breast cancer and ovarian cancer) if the first and second offspring sex was boy among fathers and mothers born 1925–54 with follow up of from age 50. ^an = 661,013 for women and n = 691,124 for men. Adjusted for year of birth, education and parity. ^bn = 562,677 for women and n = 584,515 for men. Adjusted for year of birth, education and parity.

	Hazard ratio (95% CI)					
	Mothers (n = 661,013)			Fathers (n = 691,124)		
	All cause	CVD	Lung cancer and COPD	All cause	CVD	Lung cancer and COPD
Total	1.03 (1.01–1.05)	1.07 (1.03–1.12)	1.02 (0.97–1.08)	1.00 (0.99–1.01)	1.01 (0.99–1.03)	0.99 (0.95–1.03)
Total <i>adjusted</i> ^a	1.03 (1.01–1.05)	1.07 (1.03–1.11)	1.02 (0.97–1.08)	1.00 (0.99–1.02)	1.01 (0.99–1.03)	0.99 (0.96–1.04)
By N° offspring:						
1	1.03 (1.00–1.07)	1.06 (1.00–1.14)	1.07 (0.97–1.17)	0.99 (0.97–1.02)	1.02 (0.99–1.06)	0.97 (0.91–1.04)
2	1.01 (0.97–1.04)	1.06 (0.99–1.13)	0.98 (0.89–1.07)	0.99 (0.97–1.02)	0.98 (0.94–1.02)	1.02 (0.96–1.10)
3	1.04 (0.99–1.10)	1.10 (1.00–1.21)	1.02 (0.90–1.16)	1.02 (0.99–1.06)	1.05 (1.00–1.11)	0.99 (0.90–1.08)
4	1.04 (0.96–1.12)	1.01 (0.87–1.18)	0.92 (0.74–1.14)	1.01 (0.96–1.07)	1.03 (0.95–1.11)	0.97 (0.84–1.12)
5	1.10 (0.95–1.27)	1.20 (0.92–1.56)	1.35 (0.91–2.00)	1.10 (1.00–1.20)	0.96 (0.83–1.11)	1.24 (0.96–1.60)
6 or more	1.21 (0.98–1.50)	1.11 (0.77–1.60)	1.31 (0.71–2.44)	0.92 (0.80–1.05)	1.01 (0.82–1.24)	0.70 (0.47–1.03)
P-value (interaction)	0.375	0.885	0.730	0.196	0.910	0.854
By year of birth						
1925–1929	1.04 (1.01–1.07)	1.08 (1.02–1.14)	1.10 (1.00–1.20)	1.00 (0.99–1.02)	1.01 (0.96–1.05)	0.96 (0.89–1.04)
1930–1939	1.04 (1.00–1.07)	1.06 (0.99–1.14)	0.95 (0.87–1.04)	1.02 (0.99–1.05)	1.02 (0.97–1.06)	0.99 (0.99–1.07)
1940–1949	0.99 (0.94–1.04)	1.08 (0.95–1.24)	1.01 (0.89–1.14)	0.98 (0.94–1.02)	0.99 (0.92–1.07)	1.02 (0.91–1.14)
1950–1958	1.03 (0.92–1.15)	1.01 (0.72–1.40)	1.21 (0.91–1.61)	0.95 (0.86–1.05)	0.95 (0.78–1.16)	0.94 (0.70–1.27)
P-value (interaction)	0.255	0.469	0.997	0.002	0.166	0.025

Table 3. Age adjusted hazard ratio of death among mothers and fathers by proportion of boys among all and stratified by number of offspring. ^aFixed effect analysis adjusting for the effect of belonging to any of the parity group strata.

In mothers, there was a U-shaped relation (quadratic term p-value < 0.001) between *number of boys* and *girls* and all-cause mortality with lowest risk with two and three boys or girls (Supplementary Table 2). Cardiovascular causes also showed a U-shaped pattern by *number of boys* in mothers, with increased risk for more than three boys (Fig. 1). Such a pattern was also seen for *number of girls*, though to a lesser degree, and there was a smaller relationship with many girls. For lung cancer and COPD there was a small inverse relationship for both *number of boys* or *girls*.

In fathers the U-shaped pattern was less pronounced, there was little increased risk of all cause death and an increased risk in cardiovascular death by both *number of boys* and *girls* which was largely attenuated when including number of other sex offspring and length of education (Supplementary Table 3). For lung cancer and COPD there was a small positive relation with *number of boys* and *girls*.

Sex of the first offspring was associated with higher risk of all cause and cardiovascular cause mortality in women (Table 2). The *sex composition of first two offspring* was associated with hazard ratio of 1.06 (95% CI:

	Odds ratio (95% CI)							
	Mothers (n = 661,013) ^a				Fathers (n = 691,124) ^a			
	Rheumatoid arthritis	Celiac disease	Ulcerous colitis	Mb Crohn	Rheumatoid arthritis	Celiac disease	Ulcerous colitis	Mb Crohn
Per boy	0.91 (0.82–1.00)	0.96 (0.81–1.13)	1.15 (0.85–1.55)	0.98 (0.60–1.61)	0.95 (0.78–1.16)	0.84 (0.67–1.06)	0.76 (0.57–1.01)	1.16 (0.59–2.27)
Mothers vs fathers ^a	0.674	0.379	0.049	0.693	—	—	—	—
First two offspring								
Girl-girl	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)
Girl-boy or boy-girl	0.98 (0.89–1.08)	1.01 (0.86–1.19)	0.87 (0.64–1.18)	0.98 (0.60–1.61)	0.98 (0.82–1.19)	0.99 (0.80–1.22)	0.99 (0.75–1.30)	0.75 (0.40–1.43)
Boy-boy	0.95 (0.85–1.06)	0.97 (0.81–1.16)	1.20 (0.87–1.66)	0.97 (0.55–1.72)	0.96 (0.77–1.19)	0.84 (0.66–1.08)	0.92 (0.67–1.27)	0.84 (0.40–1.73)
Trend (<i>p</i> -value)	0.379	0.710	0.207	0.928	0.694	0.164	0.608	0.633
Mothers vs fathers ^a	0.962	0.371	0.204	0.742	—	—	—	—

Table 4. Age adjusted odds ratio by some common autoimmune diseases among mothers and fathers by offspring birth composition. *p*-value^a.

1.01–1.10) higher risk of cardiovascular mortality among mothers when having two boys compared to having two girls. No comparable associations were seen in fathers or for other outcomes when using this approach.

Both *number of boys* and *girls* were inversely related to risk of breast and ovarian cancer in mothers (Supplementary Table 6). Adjusting for covariates had minimal impact on the estimates, and the estimates were similar for *number of boys* and *number of girls*. The *proportion of boys* was related to all-cause mortality and cardiovascular mortality in mothers and not in fathers (Table 3).

We found no association with offspring sex in the following autoimmune diseases: rheumatoid arthritis, coeliac disease, Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis (Table 4). In mothers in the sub-cohort of CONOR most risk factors were related to unfavorable levels for both number of boys and number of girls (Supplementary Tables 4 and 5). Percent daily smokers and percent drinking more than 2 units of alcohol per week decreased with *number of boys* and *girls*. In fathers the same pattern was seen, except for triglycerides and cholesterol (boys), and mental distress and daily smoking (boys and girls). Neither *sex of first offspring* nor *sex of first two offspring* was related to any of these risk factors in both fathers and mothers (Tables 5 and 6). '*Proportion boys*' was also not related to any of these except for physical inactivity in fathers.

For the additional analysis we found no increased risk for CVD and all-cause mortality in mothers having offspring experiencing perinatal death, experiencing pre-eclampsia or having different inter-pregnancy intervals. Women with twin births had increased CVD risk (proportion boys gave of 1.51 (1.13–2.03) and sex of first offspring 1.20 (0.99–1.47)) (Supplementary Table 6). In the CONOR sub-cohort CVD hazard ratios were for women 1.07 0.92–1.26) adjusting for year of birth and parity, and 1.07 (0.92–1.26) after adjusting also for smoking, cholesterol, triglycerides, physical inactivity and systolic blood pressure.

Discussion

Mothers with more male offspring had increased cardiovascular mortality. This was not seen in fathers. For other disease outcomes there was no differential association of offspring sex in mothers and fathers. Interesting patterns of association with risk factors was seen for mothers and fathers, in which both *number of boys* and *girls* were related to unfavorable levels but not with *sex of first* and *first and second offspring*.

Strengths and limitations. The comprehensive data linkage, size, follow-up, details on causes of death and risk factors in the data are clear advantages. Mortality follow-up took place over many years, and we did not have information on emigration. But we do not consider this to cause bias as only 3–5 per 1000 inhabitants emigrated from Norway in this period. The study benefits from triangulating analytical approaches to produce more robust conclusions. It is unlikely that parents having a first offspring boy differ systematically from those who have a first offspring girl. The strength of this triangulation is further supported by the distribution of covariates and risk factors, in which the clear pattern when using number of boys disappears when using *sex of first* and *sex of first and second offspring*. But we would caution against interpreting that there is a further increase of CVD risk in women having more than two boys.

In Nordic registry data it has previously been shown that sex of the first two pregnancies is related to odds for having a third offspring²⁹. If the first two offspring are boys, the odds for having a third pregnancy is larger than if the first two pregnancies were girls or mixed. However, this trend started around 1990, and is not likely to influence this cohort. Since parity is a confounder, and if having two boys increases odds of having a third offspring we would expect parity to decrease rather than increase the association with having boys since having mostly girls would be related to increased parity and increased mortality. Sensitivity analyses restricting to those with exactly one and two offspring showed similar estimates. We also looked at risk of having first offspring boy in different birth cohorts: The risks for CVD of having first offspring boy in the different birth cohorts were largely similar: 1.03 (0.99–1.07) 1925–1929, 1.06 (1.01–1.11) 1930–1939, 1.04 (0.95–1.14) 1940–1949 and 0.86 (0.69–1.08) 1950–1955.

The covariates and risk factors appeared more strongly related to *number of boys* or *girls* than to *proportion of boys* or *sex of first offspring* and *sex of first two offspring*. This probably reflects that the exposure variables *number of boys* and *girls* are more directly confounded by family size. Composition of sex in the first and sex of first and second offspring were unrelated to almost any of the covariates or risk factors. In addition to indicating that sex

	Age at examination (sd)	BMI (kg/m ²)	Chol-sterol (mmol/l)	Systolic Blood pressure (mmHg)	Diabetes (%)	Mental distress (%)	Daily smoker (%)	Alcohol (<2units per week)	Physical Inactivity (%)	Family history of CHD (%)	Previous CVD (%)	N
Mothers												
<i>Sex of first offspring^a</i>												
Girl	52 (10.6)	26.0 (4.5)	5.99 (1.2)	133 (21.1)	2.5	5.3	34.1	8.8	6.2	50.1	4.5	24,658
Boy	52 (10.5)	26.0 (4.5)	5.98 (1.2)	133 (21.0)	2.4	5.5	34.1	8.9	6.5	50.1	4.7	26,078
P-value	0.828	0.821	0.392	0.962	0.358	0.487	0.897	0.320	0.143	0.978	0.198	
<i>Sex of first two offspring^b</i>												
Girl-girl	52 (10.4)	26.0 (4.5)	5.97 (1.2)	133 (21.0)	2.5	5.1	33.5	8.2	6.3	50.0	4.5	10,376
Girl-boy or boy-girl	52 (10.5)	26.0 (4.4)	5.99 (1.2)	133 (21.0)	2.4	5.3	33.3	8.8	6.0	50.0	4.5	21,953
Boy-boy	53 (10.5)	26.0 (4.4)	6.00 (1.2)	133 (21.0)	2.4	5.1	33.4	8.5	6.4	49.7	4.8	11,531
P-value	0.387	0.939	0.121	0.722	0.497	0.863	0.924	0.565	0.828	0.503	0.319	
<i>Proportion boys</i>												
<0.5	52 (10.6)	26.0 (4.5)	6.00 (1.2)	132.9 (21.1)	2.5	5.4	34.1	8.4	6.6	50.4	4.9	19,527
≥0.5	52 (10.5)	26.0 (4.4)	6.00 (1.2)	132.5 (21.1)	2.4	5.4	34.2	9.2	6.2	50.0	4.4	31,209
P-value	0.082	0.645	0.194	0.614	0.846	0.931	0.503	0.781	0.786	0.829	0.257	—
Missing	0	137	61	16	631	8,469	253	1839	2829	2991	537	—

Table 5. Mean values (sd) or percentages with test of differences (linear or logistic regression) of some risk factors among mothers in a linked sub cohort being part of the Cohort of Norway (CONOR) according to sex of first offspring, sex of first two offspring and proportion boys. ^aThese 50,736 women from the full cohort were identified as participating in CONOR with at least one offspring. ^bThese 43,860 women from the full cohort were identified as participating in CONOR with at least two offspring.

of the first offspring(s) seems to be random, at least when number of offspring is low, it suggests that having boys does not impact much on risk factor profile in parents.

In some countries sex-selective abortion is known to affect offspring sex composition. In Norway voluntary pregnancy termination in most cases takes place before 12 weeks of pregnancy, a time point when sex of the fetus is not known to the parents³⁰. Proportion of stillbirths in Norway is comparatively low, 5.9 per 1000 deliveries³¹. Women who experienced offspring death perinatally did not have differential risk. Paternal misclassification could have attenuated the estimate in fathers compared to mothers, but is not likely to differ according to sex of offspring.

Determinants of sex composition. Biological mechanisms underlying offspring sex is not settled³². High caloric intake is known to skew offspring sex ratio towards males in mice, cows and horses^{33–35}. Observational studies among humans have shown some variations in sex ratio with conditions of war or poor nutrition but this has not been seen in data from the Dutch famine study rendering little support for a claim that environmental changes will have large impact on the sex ratio^{36,37}. There might be a “selective loss” of males due to susceptibility to environmental insults or nutritional deficiencies consistent with the Trivers-Willard hypothesis that good conditions during pregnancy will promote investment in male fetuses³⁸. This mechanism would go in the opposite direction of higher mortality for mothers who have more boys since women in harsh environmental conditions potentially lose male fetuses and these conditions would also be expected to increase their mortality.

High sex specific parental levels of oestrogen and testosterone are associated with having male offspring, whereas high levels of progesterone and gonadotropins are associated with having female offspring²⁴. High levels of testosterone are known to have immunosuppressive effects, which may be an advantage since the maternal immune system then is exposed to male-specific antigens²⁵. Observations from studies of humans are, however, not unequivocally in concordance with the testosterone theory. Whether the high sex specific parental levels of oestrogen and testosterone at the time of conception remain after birth, and subsequently may trigger maternal risk of CVD, is not known.

Family factors and causal inference on fertility and health. Given the physiological changes during pregnancy, birth and lactation, women carry the highest direct biological burden in regard to reproduction¹. Effects seen in fathers may be due to shared family factors both related to number of offspring and later health³⁹. The U-shape pattern seen when number of boys and girls were analyzed probably reflects the influence of parity. Father's health is used as a comparator because a stronger effect in mothers would suggest that factors during pregnancy may be of importance. A review from 2007 concluded that in natural fertility conditions, i.e. when fertility is near its biological maximum, longevity does not decrease when the number of children increases but, in modern populations, mortality could increase when women have more than 5 children³. In a Norwegian study covering a similar period, odds of death relative to those for subjects with two children were highest for the childless in both women and men and next highest for those with only one child⁴⁰. The similarity of results for women and men suggests social pathways underlying these associations between reproductive history and health. They also found for 11 causes of death, fertility was related to increased risk, supporting a general explanation for the relationship⁵.

Explanations for offspring sex and parental health. Our study suggested increased risk of CVD in mothers with boys but not with increased levels of CVD related risk factors. This could suggest risk factors are not involved

	Age at examination (sd)	BMI (kg/m ²)	Chol-sterol (mmol/l)	Systolic Blood pressure (mmHg)	Diabetes (%)	Mental distress (%)	Daily smoker (%)	Alcohol (<2units per week)	Physical Inactivity (%)	Family history of CHD (%)	Previous CVD (%)	N
Fathers												
<i>Sex of first offspring^a</i>												
Girl	56 (11.4)	26.6 (3.4)	6.00 (1.1)	139.2 (19.3)	3.6	3.5	30.1	19.4	6.7	46.2	12.5	21,828
Boy	56 (11.5)	26.6 (3.4)	6.00 (1.1)	139.5 (19.0)	3.7	3.6	30.1	19.7	6.4	46.2	12.5	22,966
P-value	0.689	0.682	0.725	0.196	0.620	0.372	0.849	0.232	0.221	0.980	0.986	
<i>Sex of first two offspring^b</i>												
Girl-girl	56 (11.2)	26.7 (3.4)	6.02 (1.1)	139.4 (19.5)	3.6	3.3	29.8	19.3	6.8	46.6	12.6	8,900
Girl-boy or boy-girl	56 (11.3)	26.6 (3.4)	6.00 (1.1)	139.3 (19.1)	3.8	3.3	30.6	19.6	6.5	46.2	12.9	19,109
Boy-boy	55.9 (11.4)	26.6 (3.4)	6.00 (1.1)	139.6 (19.1)	3.6	3.6	29.9	19.4	6.2	46.5	12.6	9,807
P-value	0.612	0.497	0.317	0.359	0.620	0.263	0.976	0.849	0.099	0.871	0.951	
<i>Proportion boys</i>												
<0.5	56 (11–5)	26.6 (3.4)	6.00 (1.1)	139.0 (19.3)	3.8	3.7	30.8	19.0	6.4	45.9	12.7	17,130
≥0.5	56 (11.4)	26.0 (3.4)	6.00 (1.1)	139.2 (19.1)	3.6	3.5	30.9	19.9	6.6	46.3	12.4	27,664
P-value	0.366	0.456	0.320	0.523	0.241	0.762	0.871	0.934	0.040	0.954	0.213	
Missing		90	47	32	443	11,022	124	1237	1621	3380	284	

Table 6. Mean values and standard deviations with test of differences (linear or logistic regression) of some risk factors among fathers in a linked sub cohort being part of the Cohort of Norway (CONOR) according to sex of first offspring, sex of first two offspring and proportion boys. ^aThese 44,794 fathers from the full cohort were identified as participating in CONOR with at least one offspring. ^bThese 38,816 fathers from the full cohort were identified as participating in CONOR with at least two offspring.

which is supported by findings in the CONOR sub-cohort where the (imprecisely estimated) greater association in women for CVD was not attenuated after adjusting for important risk factors. In general differential associations of offspring sex with parental health may mechanisms that are biologically or socially informative, even if effect sizes are small. Sons and daughters may provide emotional, interpersonal, financial and material support to the parents, which may be gendered⁴¹. Studies in societies with strong preference towards sons, such as China and Bangladesh, are at odds with this, suggesting a context specific association: In Bangladesh there was an increased survival according to number of sons, possibly due to improved parental socioeconomic circumstances consequent on this.

Maternal-fetal exchange of genetic material (fetal microchimerism) has been suggested to have health implications for both the fetus and the mother⁴². Half of the fetal tissue antigens have paternal origin and maternal immune reactions must be suppressed or tolerated to continue the pregnancy⁴³. With a male fetus, the maternal immune system is exposed to male antigens that may give rise to long-lasting immunity against male-specific antigens. Such male-specific antigens have been found to give higher risk of graft-versus-host disease in stem-cell transplantation with female donors to male recipients⁴⁴. Such changes affect immune tolerance, energy metabolism and cardio-respiratory function, all triggered by the feto-placental unit²⁶. Our study found no reflection of this in rheumatoid arthritis, coeliac disease, crohn's disease or ulcerative colitis, however.

Micro-vascular vasodilatation is enhanced in women with a male fetus⁴⁵. In preeclampsia, this vasodilatation is reduced in women with a male but not a female fetus, compared to a normal pregnancy. There is also growing evidence that the physiological adjustments do not return to the pre-pregnancy state when pregnancies are complicated by certain diseases, such as preeclampsia and gestational diabetes⁴⁶. These conditions may occur due to pre-existing subclinical disease, later manifest in CVD, autoimmune disease or early maternal mortality, creating an additional burden of disease for the mother. Our sub-analysis on perinatal death, pre-eclampsia, perinatal deaths did not support this.

Conclusion

Mothers, not fathers, have increased risk of cardiovascular disease when having boys compared to girls. The difference is not reflected in the pattern of conventional cardiovascular risk factors, suggesting that other biological or social pathways may play a role.

References

- Hurt, L. S., Ronsmans, C. & Thomas, S. L. The effect of number of births on women's mortality: systematic review of the evidence for women who have completed their childbearing. *Popul.Stud.(Camb.)*. **60**, 55–71 (2006).
- Jasienska, G. Reproduction and lifespan: Trade-offs, overall energy budgets, intergenerational costs, and costs neglected by research. *Am.J.Hum.Biol.* **21**, 524–532 (2009).
- Le, B. E. Does reproduction decrease longevity in human beings? *Ageing Res.Rev.* **6**, 141–149 (2007).
- Westendorp, R. G. & Kirkwood, T. B. Human longevity at the cost of reproductive success. *Nature*. **396**, 743–746 (1998).
- Grundy, E. & Kravdal, O. Fertility history and cause-specific mortality: a register-based analysis of complete cohorts of Norwegian women and men. *Soc. Sci. Med.* **70**, 1847–1857 (2010).
- Helle, S., Lummaa, V. & Jokela, J. Sons reduced maternal longevity in preindustrial humans. *Science*. **296**, 1085 (2002).
- Beise, J. & Volland, E. Effect of producing sons on maternal longevity in premodern populations. *Science*. **298**, 317 (2002).

8. Cesarini, D., Lindqvist, E. & Wallace, B. Maternal longevity and the sex of offspring in pre-industrial Sweden. *Ann.Hum.Biol.* **34**, 535–546 (2007).
9. Cesarini, D., Lindqvist, E. & Wallace, B. Is there an adverse effect of sons on maternal longevity? *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **276**, 2081–2084 (2009).
10. Van de Putte, B., Matthijs, K. & Vlietinck, R. A social component in the negative effect of sons on maternal longevity in pre-industrial humans. *J. Biosoc. Sci.* **36**, 289–297 (2004).
11. Harrell, C. J., Smith, K. R. & Mineau, G. P. Are girls good and boys bad for parental longevity? *Hum. Nat.* **19**, 56–69 (2008).
12. Helle, S. & Lummaa, V. A trade-off between having many sons and shorter maternal post-reproductive survival in pre-industrial Finland. *Biol. Lett.* **9**, 20130034 (2013).
13. Pham-Kanter, G. & Goldman, N. Do sons reduce parental mortality? *J. Epidemiol. Community Health.* **66**, 710–715 (2012).
14. Torssander, J. From child to parent? The significance of children's education for their parents' longevity. *Demography.* **50**, 637–59 (2013).
15. Christiansen, S. G. The association between grandparenthood and mortality. *Soc. Sci. Med.* **118**, 89–96 (2014).
16. McMichael, A. J., Mckee, M., Shkolnikov, V. & Valkonen, T. Mortality trends and setbacks: global convergence or divergence? *Lancet.* **363**, 1155–1159 (2014).
17. Clutton-Brock, T. Sexual selection in males and females. *Science.* **318**, 1882–1885 (2007).
18. Loos, R. J., Derom, C., Eeckels, R., Derom, R. & Vlietinck, R. Length of gestation and birthweight in dizygotic twins. *Lancet.* **358**, 560–561 (2001).
19. Hinde, K. First-time macaque mothers bias milk composition in favor of sons. *Curr. Biol.* **17**, R958–R959 (2007).
20. Nielsen, H. S. *et al.* Brothers and reduction of the birth weight of later-born siblings. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* **167**, 480–484 (2008).
21. Nielsen, H. S. *et al.* Sex of prior children and risk of stillbirth in subsequent pregnancies. *Epidemiology.* **21**, 114–117 (2010).
22. Rickard, I. J., Russell, A. F. & Lummaa, V. Producing sons reduces lifetime reproductive success of subsequent offspring in pre-industrial Finns. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **274**, 2981–2988 (2007).
23. Rickard, I. J. Offspring are lighter at birth and smaller in adulthood when born after a brother versus a sister in humans. *Evol Hum Behav.* **29**, 196–200 (2008).
24. James, W. H. Evidence that mammalian sex ratios at birth are partially controlled by parental hormone levels at the time of conception. *J Theor. Biol.* **180**, 271–286 (1996).
25. Grant, V. J. & Chamley, L. W. Can mammalian mothers influence the sex of their offspring peri-conceptually? *Reproduction.* **140**, 425–433 (2010).
26. Clifton, V. L., Stark, M. J., Osei-Kumah, A. & Hodyl, N. A. Review: The feto-placental unit, pregnancy pathology and impact on long term maternal health. *Placenta.* **33**, S37–S41 (2012).
27. Naess, O. & Hoff, D. A. The Norwegian Family Based Life Course (NFLC) study: data structure and potential for public health research. *Int. J. Public Health.* **58**, 57–64 (2013).
28. Naess, O. *et al.* Cohort Profile: Cohort of Norway. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **37**, 481–485 (2008).
29. Andersson, G., Hank, K., Ronsen, M. & Vikat, A. Gendering family composition: sex preferences for children and childbearing behavior in the Nordic countries. *Demography.* **43**, 255–267 (2006).
30. National Institute for Health and Welfare. *Induced abortions in the Nordic countries.* <https://www.thl.fi/en/web/thlfi-en/statistics/statistics-by-topic/sexual-and-reproductive-health/abortions> (2011)
31. Stanton, C., Lawn, J. E., Rahman, H., Wilczynska-Ketende, K. & Hill, K. Stillbirth rates: delivering estimates in 190 countries. *Lancet.* **367**, 1487–1494 (2006).
32. Rosenfeld, C. S. Periconceptional influences on offspring sex ratio and placental responses. *Reprod. Fertil. Dev.* **24**, 45–58 (2011).
33. Rosenfeld, C. S. *et al.* Striking variation in the sex ratio of pups born to mice according to whether maternal diet is high in fat or carbohydrate. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **100**, 4628–4632 (2003).
34. Roche, J. R., Lee, J. M. & Berry, D. P. Pre-conception energy balance and secondary sex ratio—partial support for the Trivers-Willard hypothesis in dairy cows. *J. Dairy. Sci.* **89**, 2119–2125 (2006).
35. Skjervold, H. & James, W. H. Causes of variation in the sex ratio in dairy cattle. *J. Anim. Breed. Genet.* **95**, 293–305 (1979).
36. Lumey, L. H., Stein, A. D. & Susser, E. Prenatal Famine and Adult Health. *Annu. Rev. Public. Health.* **32**, 237–62 (2011).
37. Wilcox, A. J. Sex ratio in *Fertility and Pregnancy. An Epidemiologic Perspective.* (ed. Wilcox, A. J.) 246–254 (Oxford University Press, 2010).
38. Trivers, R. L. & Willard, D. E. Natural selection of parental ability to vary the sex ratio of offspring. *Science.* **179**, 90–92 (1973).
39. Lawlor, D. A., Leary, S. & Davey Smith, G. Theoretical underpinning for the use of intergenerational studies in *Family Matters: Designing, Analysing and Understanding Family-based Studies in Life Course Epidemiology* (eds. Lawlor, D. A., Mishra, G.) 13–38 (Oxford University Press, 2009).
40. Grundy, E. & Kravdal, O. Reproductive history and mortality in late middle age among Norwegian men and women. *Am J Epidemiol.* **167**, 271–9 (2008).
41. Raley, S. & Bianchi, S. Sons, Daughters, and Family Processes: Does Gender of Children Matter? *Annual Review of Sociology.* **32**, 401–421 (2006).
42. Fugazzola, L., Cirello, V. & Beck-Peccoz, P. Fetal microchimerism as an explanation of disease. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol* **7**, 89–97 (2011).
43. Verdijk, R. M. *et al.* Pregnancy induces minor histocompatibility antigen-specific cytotoxic T cells: implications for stem cell transplantation and immunotherapy. *Blood.* **103**, 1961–1964 (2004).
44. Miklos, D. B. *et al.* Antibody responses to H-Y minor histocompatibility antigens correlate with chronic graft-versus-host disease and disease remission. *Blood* **105**, 2973–2978 (2005).
45. Gabory, A., Roseboom, T. J., Moore, T., Moore, L. G. & Junien, C. Placental contribution to the origins of sexual dimorphism in health and diseases: sex chromosomes and epigenetics. *Biol Sex Differ* **4**, 5 (2013).
46. Mace, R. & Sear, R. Birth interval and the sex of children in a traditional African population: an evolutionary analysis. *J. Biosoc. Sci.* **29**, 499–507 (1997).

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge to contributions of the CONOR Steering Committee and the Regional Health Surveys which contributed to the sub-analyses. We also acknowledge Statistics Norway for linking the data, and to the Norwegian Cancer Registry for providing data on cancer. The study was funded by our own research institutions.

Author Contributions

G.D.S. conceived the idea. Ø.N. and G.D.S. designed the study. Ø.N. and L.M. analysed the data. Ø.N. drafted the paper. L.M., Å.V. and G.D.S. commented on subsequent drafts.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at doi:10.1038/s41598-017-05161-y

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2017